NATIONAL STATE LAW SURVEY

EXPANDED NON-CRIMINALIZATION

POLICY GOAL 2.6 State law prohibits the criminalization of child sex trafficking victims for status offenses, and misdemeanor and non-violent felony offenses committed as a result of their trafficking victimization.

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non-criminalization to status offenses? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Alabama | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Arizona | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Arkansas | No | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to forgery, defrauding a prospective adoptive parent, prostitution, including advancing prostitution, obscene performance, and controlled substance charges | Ark. Code Ann. § 5-2-210(b), (c) (Human trafficking – Affirmative defense) |
| California | No | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an offense to any charge, other than a violent felony, if the person was coerced to commit the offense and had | Cal. Penal Code § 236.23 (Human trafficking; affirmative defense; burden and standard) |

¹ Evaluations of state laws are based on legislation enacted as of August 1, 2022. For more information on the importance of this policy goal, please visit https://reportcards.sharedhope.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/2022-Issue-Briefs-2.6.pdf.

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non-criminalization to status offenses? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | a reasonable fear of harm | |
| Colorado | No | No | No | Yes; victims of child sex trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to any charge other than a class 1 felony | Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-1-713(1) (Victims of human trafficking of a minor for involuntary servitude or sexual servitude – Affirmative defenses) |
| Connecticut | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Delaware | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| District of Columbia | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Florida | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Georgia | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Idaho | No | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to any non-violent offense if committed as a direct and immediate result of their trafficking victimization | Idaho Code Ann. § 18-8606(2) (Safe harbor provisions) |
| Illinois | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Indiana | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Iowa | No | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for human trafficking, solicitation of commercial sexual activity, premises used for human | Iowa Code § 710A.3 (Affirmative defense) |

| | | | | 70 | - No. 199 |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| State ¹ | Does state law extend non-criminalization to status offenses? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
| | | | | trafficking, and other specified offenses | |
| Kansas | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Kentucky | Yes | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for an any offense other than a violent felony | Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 630.125 (Child not to be charged with or found guilty of status offense related to human trafficking); Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 529.170(1) (Being victim of human trafficking is affirmative defense to violation of chapter) |
| Louisiana | Yes | Yes | Yes | N/A | La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 14:46.3(E) (Trafficking of children for sexual purposes) |
| Maine | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Maryland | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Massachusetts | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Michigan | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Mississippi | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Missouri | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Montana | Yes | Yes | Yes | N/A | Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-709(1) (Immunity of child – Sex therapy participants) |
| Nebraska | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Nevada | Yes | Yes; but not all | No | N/A | Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 62C.015 (2), (3) (Treatment of child who engages in prostitution or solicitation for prostitution or who engages in certain unlawful acts in connection with commercial sexual exploitation; report of commercial sexual exploitation to agency which provides child welfare services) |
| New Hampshire | No | Yes | Yes | N/A | N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 633:7 (VI), (VII) (Trafficking in persons) |
| New Jersey | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| New Mexico | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| New York | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| North Carolina | No | No | No | No | N/A |

| State ¹ North Dakota | Does state law extend non-criminalization to status offenses? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| North Dakota | No | Yes; but not all | Yes, but not all | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for felony forgery, felony theft, or felony drug distribution charges | N.D. Cent. Code § 12.1-41-12(1)— (3) (Immunity of minor); N.D. Cent. Code § 12.1-41-13 (Affirmative defense to victim) |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Oklahoma | No | Yes | Yes | N/A | Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 748.2(E) (Rights of victims of human trafficking – Civil action against perpetrator); Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 748(E) (Human trafficking) |
| Oregon | No | No | No | Yes | Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.269 (Victim assertion of defense of duress); Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.261 (Definitions for ORS 163.263 and 163.264) |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| South Carolina | No | No | No | Yes | S.C. Code § 16-3-2020(F) (Trafficking in persons; penalties; defenses) |
| South Dakota | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Tennessee | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Texas | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Utah | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Vermont | No | Yes, but not all | Yes, but not all | Yes; victims of sex trafficking are provided an affirmative defense in a prosecution for any offense other than prostitution or obscenity if the offense was committed as a result of force, fraud, or coercion | Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 13, § 2652(c) (1)-(2) (Human Trafficking) |

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non-criminalization to status offenses? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors? | Does state law extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Virginia | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Washington | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| West Virginia | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Wisconsin | No | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking are provided an affirmative defense in a prosecution for any offense committed as a direct result of the trafficking victimization | Wis. Stat. § 939.46(1m) (Coercion) |
| Wyoming | No | Yes | Yes | N/A | Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-708(a) (Victim defenses; vacating convictions) |
| Totals: | 4 states extend non-criminalization to status offenses. | 8 states extend non-criminalization to misdemeanors. | 7 states extend non-criminalization to non-violent felonies. | 11 states make an affirmative defense available. | |