## NATIONAL STATE LAW SURVEY

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

POLICY GOAL 2.8 State law provides child sex trafficking victims with an affirmative defense to violent felonies committed as a result of their trafficking victimization.

State <sup>1</sup>	Does state law provide child sex trafficking victims with noncriminalization protections or an affirmative defense to violent felonies?	If so, does protection extend to all violent felonies?	Relevant statute(s)
Alabama	No	N/A	N/A
Alaska	No	N/A	N/A
Arizona	No	N/A	N/A
Arkansas	No	N/A	N/A
California	No	N/A	N/A
Colorado	Yes	No; unavailable in cases involving a class 1 felony offense	Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-1-713(1) (Victims of human trafficking of a minor for involuntary servitude or sexual servitude – Affirmative defenses); Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-1.3-406(2)(a), (b) (Mandatory sentences for violence crimes – Definitions
Connecticut	No	N/A	N/A
Delaware	No	N/A	N/A
District of Columbia	No	N/A	N/A
Florida	No	N/A	N/A
Georgia	No	N/A	N/A
Hawaii	No	N/A	N/A
Idaho	$No^2$	N/A	N/A
Illinois	No	N/A	N/A
Indiana	No	N/A	N/A
Iowa	No	N/A	N/A
Kansas	No	N/A	N/A
Kentucky	No	N/A	N/A
Louisiana	Yes	Yes	La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 14:46.3(E) (Trafficking of children for sexual purposes)
Maine	No	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evaluations of state laws are based on legislation enacted as of August 1, 2022. For more information on the importance of this policy goal, please visit <a href="https://reportcards.sharedhope.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/2022-Issue-Briefs-2.8.pdf">https://reportcards.sharedhope.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/2022-Issue-Briefs-2.8.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although Idaho Code Ann. § 18-8602(2) (Safe harbor provisions) provides trafficking victims with an affirmative defense, that protection is not available for vast majority of violent felonies that victims would be charged with.

State <sup>1</sup>	Does state law provide child sex trafficking victims with non- criminalization protections or an affirmative defense to violent felonies?	If so, does protection extend to all violent felonies?	Relevant statute(s)
Maryland	No	N/A	N/A
Massachusetts	No	N/A	N/A
Michigan	No	N/A	N/A
Minnesota	No	N/A	N/A
Mississippi	No	N/A	N/A
Missouri	No	N/A	N/A
Montana	No	N/A	N/A
Nebraska	No	N/A	N/A
Nevada	No	N/A	N/A
New Hampshire	No	N/A	N/A
New Jersey	No	N/A	N/A
New Mexico	No	N/A	N/A
New York	No	N/A	N/A
North Carolina	No	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	No	N/A	N/A
Ohio	No	N/A	N/A
Oklahoma	Yes	Yes	Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 748(D) (Human trafficking)
Oregon	Yes	Yes	Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.269 (Victim assertion of defense of duress); Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.261 (Definitions)
Pennsylvania	No	N/A	N/A
Rhode Island	No	N/A	N/A
South Carolina	Yes	Yes	S.C. Code § 16-3-2020(F) (Trafficking in persons; penalties; defenses)
South Dakota	No	N/A	N/A
Tennessee	Yes <sup>3</sup>	No	Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-11-611 (Self-defense)
Texas	No	N/A	N/A
Utah	No	N/A	N/A
Vermont	Yes	Yes	Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 13, § 2652(c)(2) (Human trafficking)
Virginia	No	N/A	N/A
Washington	No	N/A	N/A
West Virginia	No	N/A	N/A
Wisconsin	Yes	Yes	Wis. Stat. § 939.46(1m) (Coercion)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-11-611(b)–(d)(3) (Self-defense) allows child sex trafficking victims to assert self-defense, providing an exception to requirements that the person not be engaged in conduct that would constitute a felony or Class A misdemeanor or other specified behavior at the time they used force against another.

State <sup>1</sup>	Does state law provide child sex trafficking victims with non-criminalization protections or an affirmative defense to violent felonies?	If so, does protection extend to all violent felonies?	Relevant statute(s)	
Wyoming	Yes	Yes	Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-708(a) (Victim defenses; vacating convictions)	
Totals:	9 states provide child sex trafficking victims with non-criminalization protections or	<b>7 states</b> extend protection to all violent felonies.		
	an affirmative defense to violent felonies.			