2023 Report Cards on Child & Youth Sex Trafficking

EXPANDED NON-CRIMINALIZATION

POLICY GOAL 2.7

State law prohibits the criminalization of child sex trafficking victims for sex trafficking and commercial sexual exploitation offenses, including accomplice and co-conspirator liability, committed as a result of their trafficking victimization.

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Alabama | No | No | No | N/A |
| Alaska | No | No | No | N/A |
| Arizona | No | No | No | N/A |
| Arkansas | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to prostitution charges, including advancing prostitution | Ark. Code Ann. § 5-2-210(b), (c)(3) (Human trafficking – Affirmative defense) |
| California | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to any charge, other than a violent felony, if the person was coerced to commit the offense and had a reasonable fear of harm | Cal. Penal Code § 236.23 (Human trafficking; affirmative defense; burden and standard) |
| Colorado | No | Yes; applicable to certain prostitution- related CSE offenses | Yes; victims of child sex trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to any charge other than a class 1 felony | Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-7-209 (Immunity from prostitution-related offenses – Victims – Human trafficking of a minor for involuntary servitude – Human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude); Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-1- 713(1) (Victims of human trafficking of a minor for involuntary servitude or sexual servitude – Affirmative defenses); Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 18-3-504(2.5) (Human trafficking for sexual servitude |

¹ Evaluations of state laws are based on legislation enacted as of July 1, 2023. Responses do not include an analysis of case law, agency rules, regulations, or practices/initiatives that exist outside of statutory law. For more information on the importance of this policy goal, please visit <u>https://reportcards.sharedhope.org/related-resources/#2.7</u>.

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | – Human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude) |
| Connecticut | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to trafficking charges | Conn. Gen. Stat. § 53a-192a(b) (Trafficking in persons) |
| Delaware | No | No | No | N/A |
| District of Columbia | No | No | No | N/A |
| Florida | No | No | No | N/A |
| Georgia | No | No | No | N/A |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | N/A |
| Idaho | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to any non-violent offense if committed as a direct and immediate result of their trafficking victimization | Idaho Code Ann. § 18-8606(2) (Safe harbor provisions) |
| Illinois | No | Yes; applicable to certain conduct amounting to promoting prostitution | No | 720 Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. 5/11-14.3(a) (Promoting prostitution) |
| Indiana | No | No | No | N/A |
| Iowa | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense to certain trafficking and CSE offenses (victims under 21 years of age are provided with additional protections to pimping offenses committed as a result of their victimization and while acting under the control of an adult) | Iowa Code § 710A.3 (Affirmative defense); Iowa Code § 725.2(3) (Pimping) |
| Kansas | No | No | Yes; child trafficking victims may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for human trafficking if | Kan. Stat. Ann. § 21-5426(e) (Human trafficking; aggravated human trafficking) |

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | the offense was committed during their victimization | |
| Kentucky | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for any offense other than a violent felony | Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 529.170(1) (Being victim of human trafficking is affirmative defense to violation of chapter) |
| Louisiana | Yes | Yes | N/A | La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 14:46.3(E) (Trafficking of children for sexual purposes) |
| Maine | No | No | No | N/A |
| Maryland | Yes | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking may raise an affirmative defense in a prosecution for certain CSE conduct | Md. Code Ann., Crim. Law § 1-402(B) (No prosecution if a minor is a victim of sex trafficking or human trafficking); Md. Code Ann., Crim. Law § 11- 307(c)(1) (Using building, structure, or conveyance for prostitution or assignation) |
| Massachusetts | No | No | No | N/A |
| Michigan | No | Yes; minors under 16 years of age are protected from prosecution for certain CSE offenses | No | Mich. Comp. Laws. Ann. § 750.450 (Aiding, assisting, or abetting; penalty); Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 750.451(7) (Violation of MCL 750.448, 750.449, 750.449a(1), 750.450, or 750.462; prior convictions; penalty; prosecution of person under 18 years of age; presumption; report; investigation by department of human services; "prior conviction" defined) |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | N/A |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes; minor victims of trafficking are immune from prosecution for promoting prostitution | N/A | Miss. Code Ann. § 97-3-54.1(4) (Human trafficking act; prohibited conduct; penalty); Miss. Code Ann. § 97-29-51(3) (Prostitution; misdemeanor procuring services of prostitute; felony promoting prostitution; penalties) |
| Missouri | Yes (limited to co- conspirator liability) | Yes (limited to co- conspirator liability) | No | Mo. Rev. Stat. § 562.041 (Responsibility for the conduct of another) |
| Montana | Yes | No | No | Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-709(1) (Immunity of child – Sex therapy participants) |
| Nebraska | Yes; victims of trafficking are immune for prosecution for participating in, or benefitting from, a venture that has | No | No | Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 28-831(3) (Human trafficking; labor trafficking or sex trafficking; labor trafficking of a minor or sex trafficking of a minor; prohibited acts; penalties) |

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | engaged in trafficking (victims can still be charged as sex trafficking and CSE offenders) | | | |
| Nevada | No ² | No | No | N/A |
| New Hampshire | Yes; but limited to offenses that did not involve an act or threat of violence | Yes; but limited to offenses that did not involve an act or threat of violence | N/A | N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 633:7(VI), (VII) (Trafficking in persons) |
| New Jersey | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking, regardless of age, are provided an affirmative defense in a prosecution for human trafficking, human trafficking in the second degree, or promoting prostitution offenses and child victims are afforded a rebuttable presumption of trafficking victimization if charged with human trafficking in the second degree | N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:13-8(c) (Human trafficking); N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:13-9 (Human trafficking in the second degree); N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:24-1(e) (Prostitution and related offenses) |
| New Mexico | Yes; human trafficking victims, regardless of age, are immune from prosecution for operating as an accessory to the offense of human trafficking (however, they may be charged as the primary offender) | No | No | N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-52-1(E) (Human trafficking) |
| New York | No | No | Yes; trafficking victims are provided an affirmative defense to trafficking | N.Y. Penal Law § 230.01 (Prostitution; affirmative defense); N.Y. Penal Law § 230.35 (Promoting or compelling prostitution; accomplice); N.Y. Penal |

² However, Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 201.303 (Rebuttable presumption that pandering, sex trafficking or facilitating sex trafficking committed under duress) does create a rebuttable presumption that a child sex trafficking victim charged with a trafficking offense acted under duress.

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | and CSE-related charges | Law § 230.36 (Sex trafficking; accomplice) |
| North Carolina | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking are provided an affirmative defense to trafficking charges | N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-43.16(a) (Affirmative defense) |
| North Dakota | No | No | No | N/A |
| Ohio | No | No | No | N/A |
| Oklahoma | No | Yes; applicable to certain CSE-related conduct | Yes; victims of trafficking are provided an affirmative defense to any criminal, youthful offender, or delinquent offense | Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 1029(A), (C) (Engaging in prostitution, etc. – Soliciting or procuring – Residing or being in place for prohibited purpose – Aiding, abetting or participating – Child prostitution – Presumption of coercion); Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 748(D) (Human trafficking) |
| Oregon | No | No | Yes; victims of human trafficking are provided an affirmative defense for any offense arising from their victimization | Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.269(1), (2) (Victim assertion of defense of duress) |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | N/A |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | N/A |
| South Carolina | Yes | Yes | N/A | S.C. Code § 16-3-2020(G) (Trafficking in persons; penalties; defenses) |
| South Dakota | No | No | No | N/A |
| Tennessee | No | No | Yes; minor victims of sex trafficking are provided an affirmative defense to trafficking charges | Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-13-309(f) (Trafficking for a commercial sex act) |
| Texas | No | No | No | N/A |
| Utah | No | No | No | N/A |
| Vermont | No | Yes; applicable to prostitution-related CSE offenses, including aiding and abetting prostitution | Yes; victims of sex trafficking are provided an affirmative defense in a prosecution for any offense other than prostitution or obscenity if the offense was committed as a result of force, fraud, or coercion by a sex trafficker | Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 13, § 2652(c)(1)–(2) (Human trafficking) |

| State ¹ | Does state law extend non- criminalization to trafficking offenses? | Does state law extend non- criminalization to CSE offenses? | If non- criminalization is not extended, is an affirmative defense available? | Relevant statute(s) |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Virginia | No | No | Yes; victims of sex trafficking are provided an affirmative defense for CSE-related conduct | Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-361.1 (Victims of sex trafficking; affirmative defense) |
| Washington | No | No | No | N/A |
| West Virginia | No | Yes; applicable to the offense of aiding and abetting prostitution if coerced into the criminal behavior | No | W. Va. Code Ann. § 61-14-8(a), (b) (Immunity for minor victim of sex trafficking) |
| Wisconsin | No | No | Yes; victims of trafficking are provided an affirmative defense in a prosecution for any offense committed as a direct result of the trafficking victimization | Wis. Stat. § 939.46(1m) (Coercion) |
| Wyoming | Yes | Yes | N/A | Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-708(a) (Victim defenses; vacating convictions) |
| Totals: | 10 states extend non-criminalization to sex trafficking offenses. | 12 states extend non-criminalization to CSE offenses. | 18 states make an affirmative defense available. | |